insolvents to keep their property even after the decree is passed.

Mr. C. Narasimhayya.—Why not the creditors be allowed to proceed against the insolvent?

Third Member of Council.—The whole question will be examined again.

SUBJECT NO. 74.

Investing magisterial powers to Munsiffs and Sub-Judges and increasing their number.

Mr. K. Venkatasami Iyer, Mysore District Board.

Mr. K. Venkataswami Iyer.—The number of Munsiffs and Sub-Judges may be increased and all Munsiffs and Sub-Judges may be invested with magisterial powers. It is not desirable that the Criminal Courts of the first instance should have no civil training. The work has increased and the Courts are at a great distance and so their number has to be increased.

Third Member of Council.—This means that the Special Magistrates' Courts should be abolished while Munsiffs Courts should be increased and the Munisffs should be invested with more magisterial powers. This means a reversal of the policy of Government. When the scheme was first introduced, these aspects were considered and the change proposed was not considered suitable. The experiment was tried in certain districts and the Munsiff was given magisterial powers. Civil work suffered on account of this. The scheme has been introduced very recently and we will have to wait and see how it works.

SUBJECT NO. 75.

Investiture of summary powers to individual officers and not to Courts.

Messrs. T. M. Ramachandra Rao, Bowringpet Taluk and M. V. Ramalingam Setty, Bowringpet Municipality.

Mr. T. M. Ramachandra Rao.—Summary powers should be conferred by name in particular areas on individual officers of high judicial talents recognised by the Chief Court.

Mr. Subbaraya Chetty.—In Kolar Gold Fields, only Courts are given such powers.

Third Member of Council.—Summary powers are now invested to individual officers on the recommendation of the Chief Court which is made only after careful scrutiny of the capacity of the officer to exercise them. It is not now the practice to give such powers to any Court.

SUBJECT NO. 76.

Increasing Special Magistrates' Courts.

Messrs. (1) G. Jayarama Setti, Magadi Municipality, T. Ramachandra Rao, Bangalore District Board, and Raja Narasimha Setty, Tyama-

Mr. T. Ramachandra Rao.—Orders may be issued for appointing Special Magistrates for each taluk or at least one for two taluks. Now a Special Magistrate has been appointed for four taluks. The Court is 40 miles distance. Lot of money is spent on the Travelling Allowance of officers. With this money, a Special Magistrate's Court may be established, one for each taluk. If this is not possible, Bench Magistrates of the Taluks may